

1 **Activity of a Long-Acting Echinocandin, Rezafungin, and Comparator Antifungal Agents Tested**
2 **against Contemporary Invasive Fungal Isolates: SENTRY Program 2016-2018**

3
4

5 Running title: *In vitro* activity of rezafungin

6
7

8 Michael A. Pfaller^{1,2}, Cecilia Carvalhaes¹, Shawn A. Messer¹, Paul R. Rhomberg¹, Mariana Castanheira¹

9 ¹JMI Laboratories, North Liberty, Iowa, USA

10 ²University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA

11
12
13
14

15 Contact Information: Cecilia Carvalhaes, MD, PhD, D(ABMM)

16 JMI Laboratories

17 345 Beaver Kreek Centre, Suite A

18 North Liberty, IA 52317

19 Phone: (319) 665-3370

20 Fax: (319) 665-3371

21 Email: cecilia-carvalhaes@jmilabs.com

22
23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 **ABSTRACT**

37 We evaluated the activity of rezafungin and comparators using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
38 (CLSI) broth microdilution methods against worldwide collection of 2,205 invasive fungal isolates
39 recovered from 2016-2018. *Candida* spp. (1,904 isolates; 6 species), *Cryptococcus neoformans* (73),
40 *Aspergillus fumigatus* (183) and *Aspergillus flavus* (45) isolates were susceptibility (S) tested for
41 rezafungin as well as the comparators caspofungin, anidulafungin, micafungin, and azoles. Interpretive
42 criteria were applied following CLSI published clinical breakpoints (CBP) and epidemiological cutoff
43 values (ECV). Isolates displaying non-WT echinocandin MIC values were sequenced for *fks* hot spot (HS)
44 mutations. Rezafungin inhibited 99.8% of *Candida albicans* isolates (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL), 95.7% of
45 *Candida glabrata* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL), 97.4% of *Candida tropicalis* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL),
46 100.0% of *Candida krusei* (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL), and 100.0% of *Candida dubliniensis* (MIC_{50/90},
47 0.06/0.12 µg/mL) at ≤0.12 µg/m. All (329/329 [100.0%]) *Candida parapsilosis* isolates (MIC_{50/90}, 1/2
48 µg/mL) were inhibited by rezafungin at ≤4 µg/mL. Fluconazole resistance was detected among 8.6% of *C.*
49 *glabrata*, 12.5% of *C. parapsilosis*, 3.2% of *C. dubliniensis*, and 2.6% of *C. tropicalis*. Rezafungin activity
50 against these 6 *Candida* spp. was similar to the activity of other echinocandins. Detection of *fks* HS
51 mutation was performed by sequencing echinocandin resistant or non-WT *Candida* spp. isolates. Good
52 activity was observed by fluconazole and other azoles against *Cr. neoformans*, whereas echinocandins,
53 including rezafungin, displayed limited activity. Rezafungin displayed similar activity as other
54 echinocandins against *A. fumigatus* and *A. flavus*. These *in vitro* data contribute to accumulating research
55 demonstrating rezafungin potential for preventing and treating invasive fungal infections.
56

57 **INTRODUCTION**

58 Among the available systemically active antifungal agents, the echinocandins, including caspofungin,
59 anidulafungin, and micafungin, and the azoles, such as fluconazole, voriconazole, isavuconazole, and
60 posaconazole, are all employed empirically as directed therapy and for prophylaxis in patients with
61 suspected or documented invasive fungal infection (1-7). Whereas fluconazole remains the most
62 frequently employed antifungal globally, the echinocandin class has steadily increased in use in academic
63 and community hospital settings (2, 4, 7-11).

64 The documented potency, spectrum, and safety of the echinocandins has led many experts in infectious
65 diseases to consider echinocandins as initial therapy for treating candidemia (5, 7, 9, 12). A meta-
66 analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing treatment for candidemia and invasive candidiasis (IC)
67 showed that initial therapy with an echinocandin was a significant predictor of survival (13). Once clinically
68 stable, de-escalation to an oral azole (usually fluconazole) is suggested for all patients (1, 5, 7, 12, 14).

69 Echinocandins have some important limitations, despite proven safety and efficacy (9, 15). Most notably,
70 the daily parenteral dosing requirement complicates administration post discharge in patients requiring
71 extended therapy. Indeed, much of the growth in outpatient antifungal expenditure, as documented in a
72 recent survey of antifungal use in US hospitals, was for echinocandins. This survey suggests that
73 outpatient antifungal use may be increasing (7). Although step-down therapy from an echinocandin to
74 fluconazole may partially address the outpatient antifungal expenditure, it is complicated by increasing
75 resistance to fluconazole among common species of *Candida* (1, 7, 9, 14, 16). Other potential drawbacks
76 of the available echinocandins for clinical application are use of a fixed dose irrespective of body size or
77 species susceptibility and emerging resistance mediated by mutations in the *FKS* genes (15, 17). It has
78 been suggested that underdosing echinocandins coupled with poor penetration to certain body sites may
79 partially account for emerging echinocandin resistance (15, 18, 19). An echinocandin that could be safely
80 administered at higher doses to ensure optimal pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD)
81 features and target attainment may facilitate outpatient therapy, reduce hospital stay, and possibly delay
82 or prevent the development of echinocandin resistance, thus becoming an important step toward
83 improving the ability to effectively manage candidemia and IC (15, 20).

84 Rezafungin (Cidara Therapeutics, Inc.) is a novel echinocandin that exhibits a prolonged half-life and
85 displays chemical stability in plasma, aqueous solution, and at elevated temperature (15, 21-27). The *in*
86 *vitro* activity of rezafungin against *Candida* spp. has been shown to be comparable to other clinically
87 available echinocandins (2, 28-36). Rezafungin is being developed for treating of candidemia and other
88 forms of IC on a once-weekly IV administration (27). A phase 3, randomized, double-blind, multicenter
89 clinical trial of the efficacy and safety of rezafungin for injection compared with intravenous caspofungin
90 followed by oral fluconazole step down in the treatment of subjects with candidemia and/or IC
91 (NCT03667690; ReSTORE) is underway.

92 In the present study, we examined the *in vitro* activity of rezafungin compared with the other systemically
93 active antifungal agents by testing a global collection of 2,205 clinical isolates of yeasts (*Candida* and
94 *Cryptococcus* spp.) and molds (*Aspergillus* spp.) obtained during the 2016-2018 SENTRY Surveillance
95 Program. All isolates were submitted to broth microdilution (BMD) susceptibility testing following Clinical
96 and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) methods (37, 38).

97 Some results have been presented in part, for the individual years included in the study period, at the
98 following scientific conferences: ASM Microbe 2018, IDWeek 2018, and IDWeek 2019 (34-36).

99

100 RESULTS

101 **Geographic distribution of *Candida* species.** Among the 1,904 *Candida* isolates submitted for testing
102 from 2016 through 2018, 43.9% were *Candida albicans*, 19.6% were *Candida glabrata*, 17.3% were
103 *Candida parapsilosis*, 10.3% were *Candida tropicalis*, 4.9% were *Candida dubliniensis*, and 4.0% were
104 *Candida krusei* (Table 1). Table 1 lists the frequencies of the most common species of *Candida* in each
105 geographic region included in the SENTRY Program. *C. albicans* was most common in the Asia-Pacific
106 (APAC) region (49.8%) and Europe (EUR) (49.6%) and least common in North America (NA [USA and
107 Canada]; 34.1%), whereas *C. glabrata* was most common in NA (27.7%) and least common in Latin
108 America (LATAM) (8.7%). *C. parapsilosis* and *C. tropicalis* were more common than *C. glabrata* in
109 LATAM (20.2% and 20.2% versus 8.7%). *C. tropicalis* also was a frequent cause of IC in the APAC
110 region (16.9%). *C. krusei* was more common in LATAM (6.2%), whereas *C. dubliniensis* was more
111 common in NA (9.0%).

112

113 **Rezafungin activity against *Candida* spp., *Cr. neoformans* var. *grubii*, and *Aspergillus* spp.**

114 **isolates.** Among the 6 species of *Candida* shown in Table 2, rezafungin was most active against *C.*
115 *albicans* (MIC₉₀, 0.06 µg/mL), *C. tropicalis* (MIC₉₀, 0.06 µg/mL), and *C. krusei* (MIC₉₀, 0.06 µg/mL) and
116 least active against *C. parapsilosis* (MIC₉₀, 2 µg/mL). With minimal variation over the 3-year time period,
117 the modal MIC values were 0.03 µg/mL for *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei*, 0.06 µg/mL for *C.*
118 *glabrata* and *C. dubliniensis*, and 1 µg/mL for *C. parapsilosis*. The MIC distribution data was employed to
119 develop tentative ECVs using the iterative statistical method recommended by the CLSI (41) to establish
120 the WT distribution for rezafungin and each of the tested species. The ECV of rezafungin for each
121 species was 0.12 µg/mL for *C. albicans* (99.8% WT), *C. glabrata* (95.7% WT), *C. tropicalis* (97.4% WT),
122 and *C. krusei* (100.0% WT), 0.25 µg/mL for *C. dubliniensis* (100.0% WT), and 4 µg/mL for *C. parapsilosis*
123 (100.0% WT) (Table 2). Overall, 98.5% of the *Candida* spp. tested, aside from *C. parapsilosis*, were
124 inhibited by ≤0.12 µg/mL and 99.2% were inhibited by ≤0.25 µg/mL of rezafungin (Table 2). Rezafungin
125 showed limited activity against *Cr. neoformans* (MIC₉₀, >4 µg/mL) and was highly active against
126 *Aspergillus* species (MEC₁₀₀, ≤0.03 µg/mL). The ECV calculated for *A. fumigatus* was 0.03 µg/ml.

127

128 **Rezafungin and comparators *in vitro* activity against *Candida* spp., *Cr. neoformans* var. *grubii* and**

129 ***Aspergillus* spp. isolates.** Rezafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL; 99.8% WT) displayed comparable
130 activity against *C. albicans* to that of anidulafungin, micafungin, and caspofungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03
131 µg/mL [anidulafungin, caspofungin and micafungin]; Table 3). One *C. albicans* isolate was resistant (MIC,
132 1 µg/mL) to both caspofungin and micafungin and non-wild type (NWT) (MIC > ECV, 0.25 µg/mL) to
133 rezafungin while harboring a mutation in *fks1* HS1 (S645P; Table 4). Three fluconazole-resistant strains
134 were detected, one from LATAM and two from NA (Table 5).

135 Among 374 *C. glabrata* isolates tested, 95.7% were inhibited by rezafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL) at
136 the ECV cutoff value of ≤0.12 µg/mL (Tables 2 and 3). Micafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03 µg/mL),
137 caspofungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL), and anidulafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL) respectively
138 inhibited 96.0%, 97.1%, and 94.4% of these isolates at the current CLSI breakpoints (39). Mutations
139 within *fks* HS leading to amino acid alterations were found in 17 (68.0%) out of 25 *C. glabrata* isolates

140 displaying echinocandin MIC values greater than the ECV (Table 4). The most common substitutions
141 were *fks2* HS1 S663P (7 isolates), *fks2* HS1 F659_del (2 isolates), *fks2* HS1 Y657_del/F658Y (2
142 isolates), and *fks1* HS1 S629P (2 isolates). The corresponding rezafungin MIC values ranged from 0.06
143 to 2 µg/mL (82.4% > ECV [0.12 µg/ml]) for all 17 isolates with an *fks* mutation (Table 4). Among all *C.*
144 *glabrata* isolates from 2016-2018, 8.6% displayed a fluconazole-resistant phenotype. Based on the ECV
145 cutoff published by CLSI, 7.0% and 12.8% of these isolates were categorized as NWT to posaconazole
146 and voriconazole, respectively (39,40) (Table 3). High rates of resistance to fluconazole were seen in
147 isolates from EUR (6.0%) and NA (13.2%) (Table 5). Not only was *C. glabrata* a rare cause of IC in
148 LATAM (Table 1), it was also less resistant to fluconazole (0.0%) compared to the other monitored
149 regions (Table 5).

150 Rezafungin inhibited all *C. parapsilosis* isolates ($n = 329$) at the ECV of ≤ 4 µg/mL (Table 2). Rezafungin
151 activity (MIC₉₀, 2 µg/mL) was similar to that observed for micafungin (MIC₉₀, 1 µg/mL; 100.0% S) and
152 anidulafungin (MIC₉₀, 2 µg/mL; 93.9% S) and was 4-fold lower than caspofungin (MIC₉₀, 0.5 µg/mL;
153 100.0% S) (Tables 2 and 3). Among *C. parapsilosis*, a total of 41 isolates (12.5%) were categorized as
154 fluconazole resistant, and 36 of these strains (87.8%) were from European medical centers (24.8%
155 fluconazole resistant) (Table 5). Although *C. parapsilosis* was common in LATAM (20.2% of *Candida*
156 isolates, second in rank order; Table 1), no fluconazole-resistant strains were detected among 49 isolates
157 tested (Table 5).

158 *C. tropicalis* ($n = 196$) isolates were largely susceptible to anidulafungin, caspofungin, and micafungin
159 (99.0% S; Table 3). Rezafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL) inhibited 97.4% of isolates at the proposed
160 ECV of ≤ 0.12 µg/mL (Tables 2 and 3). Among 7 *C. tropicalis* isolates categorized as NWT to
161 echinocandin and submitted to *fks* sequencing, 2 harbored *fks1* HS1 mutations leading to amino acid
162 alterations (S645P and F650S; Table 4). Both isolates were resistant to anidulafungin (MIC values of 1
163 µg/mL for both), caspofungin (MIC values of >8 and 2 µg/mL), and micafungin (MIC values of 2 and 1
164 µg/mL) and NWT (MIC > ECV, 2 and 1 µg/mL) to rezafungin. The remaining 5 isolates did not contain
165 *fks1* mutations and 4 were WT to rezafungin (MIC values ≤ 0.12 µg/mL). Fluconazole resistance was
166 observed in 5 *C. tropicalis* isolates (2.6% of total; Table 5). No fluconazole-resistant strains were among
167 45 isolates from NA and 5.0% of isolates from APAC were resistant to fluconazole (Table 5).

168 Rezafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.06 µg/mL) was active against 77 *C. krusei*; 100.0% of isolates were inhibited
169 at ≤0.12 µg/mL, the ECV for this species (100.0% WT; Tables 2 and 3). These isolates were susceptible
170 to anidulafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL; 100.0% S), micafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL; 100.0%
171 S), and caspofungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.12/0.25 µg/mL; 98.7% S) (Table 3) according to CLSI breakpoint criteria.
172 Four *C. krusei* isolates were NWT to one or more echinocandin, none of which were shown to possess an
173 *fks* mutation: all were WT to rezafungin (Table 4). Voriconazole was active against 96.1% of *C. krusei*
174 isolates and all isolates displayed posaconazole WT phenotype (Table 3).

175 All echinocandins (anidulafungin [MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.12 µg/mL; 100.0% WT], caspofungin [MIC_{50/90},
176 0.03/0.03 µg/mL], and micafungin [MIC_{50/90}, 0.03/0.03 µg/mL; 100.0% WT]) displayed similar activity to
177 rezafungin (MIC_{50/90}, 0.06/0.12 µg/mL, 100.0% WT; Tables 2 and 3) against 93 *C. dubliniensis* isolates.
178 Three isolates were resistant/NWT to fluconazole, all from patients hospitalized in NA (5.6% resistant)
179 (Table 5).

180 Fluconazole (MIC_{50/90}, 2/4 µg/mL) and other azoles (MIC_{50/90} values were 0.12/0.25, and 0.03/0.12 µg/mL
181 for posaconazole, and voriconazole, respectively) displayed good activity against *Cr. neoformans*,
182 whereas echinocandins, including rezafungin, displayed limited activity.

183 The activity of rezafungin against 183 *A. fumigatus* isolates tested (MEC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03 µg/mL; all
184 inhibited at ECV of ≤0.03 µg/mL [100.0% WT]) was comparable to that of caspofungin (MEC_{50/90},
185 0.015/0.03 µg/mL), anidulafungin (MEC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03 µg/mL, 100% WT), and micafungin (MEC_{50/90},
186 ≤0.008/0.015 µg/mL). Voriconazole and itraconazole showed WT MIC values against over 98% of *A.*
187 *fumigatus* isolates (Table 3).

188 Against *A. flavus* species complex isolates (*n* = 45), comparable activity was observed for rezafungin
189 (MEC_{50/90}, ≤0.008/0.015 µg/mL) and other echinocandins such as caspofungin (MEC_{50/90}, 0.015/0.03
190 µg/mL, 100% WT), anidulafungin (MEC_{50/90}, ≤0.008/0.015 µg/mL), and micafungin (0.015/0.03 µg/mL). A
191 WT phenotype was observed for itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole against all *A. flavus*
192 species complex isolates (Table 3).

193

194 **DISCUSSION**

195 This study provides a robust estimate of the WT MIC/ MEC distributions of rezafungin for 6 species of
196 *Candida* as well as *A. fumigatus* and *A. flavus* and expands upon our earlier rezafungin activity
197 observations (31-33). Although establishing definitive ECVs and CBPs for rezafungin requires multicenter
198 studies involving larger numbers of isolates of each species (41), we suggest that the ECV determined
199 using CLSI BMD methods in the present study is ≤ 0.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. glabrata*,
200 and *C. krusei* (98.5% of 1,482 isolates; Table 2), ≤ 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *C. dubliniensis* (100.0% of 93 isolates),
201 ≤ 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *C. parapsilosis* (100.0% of 329 isolates), and ≤ 0.03 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *A. fumigatus* (100.0% of 183
202 isolates) (Table 2). Notably, these values are far below the peak achievable plasma concentrations of 22-
203 30 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ at the 400 mg dose (15, 26, 27) and are equivalent to the ECVs established for these
204 species/species groups and the clinically available echinocandins (40, 42, 43).

205

206 Additional support for these ECVs is found in a recent multicenter study of rezafungin activity against
207 *Candida* spp. determined using the EUCAST BMD method and both visual and statistical means of
208 determining possible wild type-upper limit (WT-UL) values (28). In the four-laboratory study, WT-UL
209 cutoffs were proposed for *C. glabrata* (0.125 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), *C. krusei* (0.125 $\mu\text{g/mL}$), and *C. parapsilosis* (4
210 $\mu\text{g/mL}$). Although interlaboratory variation precluded proposing cutoffs for *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis*,
211 the WT-UL statistical 97.5% endpoint was 0.063 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *C. albicans* and 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for *C. tropicalis*
212 (28). These values compare favorably with the ECVs generated by the CLSI BMD method in the present
213 study. Although an essential agreement rate (± 2 dilution steps) of 92.0% for *C. albicans* and 100.0% for
214 *C. glabrata*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei* between CLSI and EUCAST methods for
215 rezafungin was observed previously (31), alignment between CLSI and EUCAST susceptibility profiles
216 and breakpoints is yet to be determined, as significant interlaboratory EUCAST MIC variability (likely
217 attributed to nonspecific binding of the drug to plastics) has been identified for rezafungin against a more
218 susceptible collection of *Candida* spp. (28, 44).

219

220 As seen in Table 4, the highest rezafungin MIC values for *fks* mutant strains of *C. albicans* and *C.*
221 *glabrata* were 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ and 2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, respectively. Both of these mutant MIC values are within the
222 range of concentrations that Bader et al (20) estimated would achieve percent probabilities of PK-PD

223 target attainment of 100% through week 6, suggesting that weekly regimens of rezafungin can achieve
224 exposures associated with efficacy against some *fks* mutant *Candida* isolates (20). In addition, the same
225 study showed that the mutant prevention concentration, the concentration of drug that would inhibit
226 emerging resistant mutants, for both rezafungin and micafungin was 16 µg/mL (27). Given that the high
227 plasma drug exposure of rezafungin easily exceeds the mutant prevention concentration for *Candida*, a
228 possible advantage of rezafungin may be to prevent resistance development in the echinocandin class of
229 antifungal agents (20, 22, 24, 27).

230

231 Expert panel guidelines from both NA (5) and EUR (12) favor step-down therapy to fluconazole or
232 voriconazole for patients with Candidiasis in specific clinical situations, that is when clinical improvement
233 and clearance of *Candida* from the bloodstream was achieved by initial echinocandin therapy. In addition,
234 the organism must be susceptible to fluconazole (e.g., *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis*) or
235 voriconazole (e.g., *C. krusei*). Unfortunately, antifungal susceptibility testing is still not routinely available
236 in many patient care settings. In these circumstances, clinicians are forced to rely on simple species
237 identification of *Candida* as a predictor of fluconazole susceptibility (5, 12). In most instances, isolates of
238 *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis* are considered to be reliably susceptible to fluconazole (16),
239 whereas *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei* are considered to be intrinsically less susceptible or resistant and are
240 suboptimal targets for using fluconazole (5, 12). This approach may be seriously flawed if fluconazole
241 resistance emerges among the traditionally susceptible species. Concern regarding this approach has
242 been raised by Oxman et al (45) who found that despite the small proportion of *C. albicans*, *C.*
243 *parapsilosis*, and *C. tropicalis* with resistance/decreased susceptibility to fluconazole, these species
244 comprised 36% of the reduced susceptibility group (including *C. glabrata* and *C. krusei*), potentially
245 compromising therapy with resultant clinical failure. These concerns are supported by data from the
246 current survey showing that resistance to fluconazole was 0.4% for *C. albicans*, 12.5% for *C. parapsilosis*,
247 and 2.6% for *C. tropicalis* (Tables 3 and 5). In aggregate, these three normally susceptible species
248 accounts for 31% of all fluconazole-resistant isolates. Species identification should be used cautiously as
249 the sole criterion for anti-*Candida* agent selection (5, 45).

250 The increased rate of fluconazole resistance among *C. parapsilosis* (12.5% overall) and *C. tropicalis*
251 (2.6% overall) in the present study is important as these species are the most commonly isolated non-*C.*
252 *albicans* species in LATAM (Table 1). Although less common than *C. glabrata* in EUR, the finding of
253 fluconazole resistance in 24.8% of *C. parapsilosis* isolates exceeds that observed in *C. glabrata* (6.0%)
254 isolates and is cause for alarm (Table 5).

255 This survey has some limitations as noted elsewhere (16): the SENTRY Surveillance Program is a
256 sentinel surveillance and not population-based; therefore, we may over/underestimate the activity of the
257 tested agents. In addition, we do not collect data concerning antifungal use or outcomes of therapy. The
258 purpose of SENTRY is to identify trends in antifungal resistance and to document the emergence of new
259 species as well as the activity of new and established agents against key fungal pathogens. The broad
260 geographic distribution, longitudinal nature of the surveillance, and the use of molecular and proteomic
261 identification methods and determination of resistance mechanisms is a strength of the SENTRY
262 Program.

263 In conclusion, we have provided additional *in vitro* data demonstrating the activity of rezafungin against a
264 collection of largely echinocandin-WT isolates of *Candida* spp., *Cr. neoformans*, *A. fumigatus*, and *A.*
265 *flavus* species complex. Given these findings, we suggest that MIC values of ≤ 0.12 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (*C. albicans*,
266 *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, and *C. krusei*), ≤ 0.25 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (*C. dubliniensis*), ≤ 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (*C. parapsilosis*), and
267 MEC ≤ 0.03 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (*A. fumigatus*) approximate the ECV/WT-UL MIC/MEC distributions for rezafungin and
268 the common species of *Candida* and *Aspergillus*. Further evaluations, including at least 100 MIC values
269 per species tested by 3 different laboratories, should be performed to define the ECVs for rezafungin, a
270 fundamental step in establishing clinical breakpoints.

271 This survey provides new information regarding emerging fluconazole resistance among *C. parapsilosis*
272 and *C. tropicalis* clinical isolates from geographic regions beyond NA in addition to demonstrating
273 evidence of the sustained activity of rezafungin and the other echinocandins against *Candida* and
274 *Aspergillus* species. Whereas the highest rates of fluconazole resistance in NA isolates were seen in *C.*
275 *glabrata* (13.2%), fluconazole-resistant *C. parapsilosis* (24.8%) was most prominent in EUR and
276 fluconazole-resistant *C. tropicalis* was most prominent in APAC (5.0%) and LATAM (4.1%). In all three

277 instances, fluconazole resistance was highest in species of *Candida* other than *C. glabrata*. Species
278 identification should be used cautiously as the sole criterion for selecting antifungal therapy.

279

280 MATERIALS AND METHODS

281 **Organisms.** During 2016-2018, 2,205 non-duplicate fungal isolates were prospectively collected from 57
282 medical centers located in North America (723 isolates; 18 sites, 17 USA and 1 Canada), EUR (927
283 isolates; 22 sites, 14 countries), the APAC region (279 isolates; 11 sites, 5 countries) and LATAM (276
284 isolates; 6 sites, 4 countries). Isolates were recovered from the following sources: bloodstream infections
285 (1,460 isolates), pneumonia in hospitalized patients (306), intra-abdominal infections (32), skin and skin
286 structure infections (106), urinary tract infections (35), and other or non-specified body sites (266).

287 **Fungal identification methods.** Yeast isolates were subcultured and screened using CHROMagar
288 *Candida* (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD) to ensure purity. Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time
289 of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) was applied for identification of all yeast isolates using the
290 MALDI Biotyper according to the manufacturer's instructions (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA). Isolates
291 that were not identified by proteomic methods were submitted to the described sequencing-based
292 methods (43, 46, 47).

293 Moulds were cultured and identified by MALDI-TOF MS or DNA sequencing analysis when an acceptable
294 identification was not achieved by MALDI-TOF MS. Sequencing of 28S rDNA and β -tubulin genes for
295 *Aspergillus* spp. were analyzed (47-50).

296 Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using Lasergene® software (DNASar, Madison, Wisconsin, USA)
297 and compared to available sequences using BLAST (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>).

298 **Antifungal susceptibility testing.** All isolates were tested by CLSI BMD methods as described in
299 documents M27 and M38 (37, 38). Only systemically active antifungal agents were tested, including
300 rezafungin, anidulafungin, micafungin, caspofungin, itraconazole, fluconazole, voriconazole,
301 posaconazole, and amphotericin B. The range of antifungal agent concentrations tested were 0.008 – 4
302 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole, 0.12 – 2 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for amphotericin B, and 0.12 –
303 128 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ for fluconazole. Echinocandins concentration range tested during 2016 and 2017 was 0.008 –
304 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ whereas this range was expanded to 0.002 – 4 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 2018. MIC results were determined

305 visually after 24 (*Candida* spp.), 48 (*Aspergillus* spp.), or 72 (*Cr. neoformans*) hours of incubation at 35°C.
306 Azoles and echinocandins' MIC values against yeasts were read as the lowest concentration of drug that
307 resulted in ≥50% inhibition of growth relative to the growth control. Complete (100%) inhibition was used
308 to determine itraconazole, posaconazole, and voriconazole MIC values against *Aspergillus* spp. and
309 amphotericin B against yeasts and moulds. Echinocandins minimum effective concentration (MEC)
310 values, including rezafungin, were read against *Aspergillus* spp. as described in CLSI document M38
311 (38).

312 Echinocandins, fluconazole, and voriconazole susceptibility categories were applied for the five most
313 common species of *Candida* (*C. albicans*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. krusei*)
314 following CLSI clinical breakpoints (CBPs) (39). Epidemiological cutoff values (ECVs/ECOFFs) were used
315 to differentiate wild-type (WT) from non-wild-type (NWT) isolates of the species for which there are no
316 CLSI CBPs (40, 41). Neither CBPs nor ECVs/ECOFFs have been determined by CLSI methods for
317 rezafungin against *Candida*, *Aspergillus*, or *Cryptococcus* spp. For comparison, we established tentative
318 ECVs for rezafungin and each species using the iterative statistical method recommended by CLSI (28,
319 32, 39-41). These ECVs must be considered tentative given the CLSI requirement that ECVs be
320 determined using MIC/MEC data acquired from a minimum of three different laboratories including at
321 least 100 MIC/MEC values from 100 individual isolates, all determined by CLSI reference methods (41).

322 **Quality control.** To ensure proper test conditions and procedures, concurrent quality control (QC) testing
323 was performed. QC strains recommended by CLSI included *C. krusei* ATCC 6258, *C. parapsilosis* ATCC
324 22019, *A. flavus* ATCC 204304, and *A. fumigatus* ATCC MYA-3626.

325 **Screening for 1,3-β-D-glucan synthase mutations.** All *Candida* spp. isolates that were echinocandin-
326 resistant or showed MIC values higher than the ECV for any echinocandin were submitted to whole
327 genome sequencing for detecting mutations in the HS regions of *fks1* and *fks2* (*C. glabrata* only) as
328 described previously (43, 48, 50).
329

330 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

331 The authors wish to thank the following staff members at JMI Laboratories (North Liberty, Iowa, USA): R.
332 D. Dietrich, L. N. Woosley, and S. E. Costello for technical support during susceptibility testing and
333 characterization of the *fks* mutant isolates.

334

335 **Funding**

336 This study was performed by JMI Laboratories and sponsored by research grants from Cidara
337 Therapeutics, Inc.

338

339 **Transparency declaration**

340 JMI Laboratories contracted to perform services in 2018 for Achaogen, Inc., Albany College of Pharmacy
341 and Health Sciences, Allegra Therapeutics, Allergan, AmpliPhi Biosciences Corp., Amplyx, Antabio,
342 American Proficiency Institute, Arietis Corp., Arixa Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Astellas Pharma Inc., Athelas,
343 Basilea Pharmaceutica Ltd., Bayer AG, Becton, Dickinson and Company, bioMerieux SA, Boston
344 Pharmaceuticals, Bugworks Research Inc., CEM-102 Pharmaceuticals, Cepheid, Cidara Therapeutics,
345 Inc., CorMedix Inc., DePuy Synthes, Destiny Pharma, Discuva Ltd., Dr. Falk Pharma GmbH, Emery
346 Pharma, Entasis Therapeutics, Eurofarma Laboratorios SA, US Food and Drug Administration, Fox
347 Chase Chemical Diversity Center, Inc., Gateway Pharmaceutical LLC, GenePOC Inc., Geom
348 Therapeutics, Inc., GlaxoSmithKline plc, Harvard University, Helperby, HiMedia Laboratories, F.
349 Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., ICON plc, Idorsia Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Iterum Therapeutics plc, Laboratory
350 Specialists, Inc., Melinta Therapeutics, Inc., Merck & Co., Inc., Microchem Laboratory, Micromyx, MicuRx
351 Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Mutabilis Co., Nabriva Therapeutics plc, NAEJA-RGM, Novartis AG, Oxoid Ltd.,
352 Paratek Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Pfizer, Inc., Polyphor Ltd., Pharmaceutical Product Development, LLC,
353 Prokaryotics Inc., Qpex Biopharma, Inc., Ra Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Roivant Sciences, Ltd., Safeguard
354 Biosystems, Scynexis, Inc., SeLux Diagnostics, Inc., Shionogi and Co., Ltd., SinSa Labs, Spero
355 Therapeutics, Summit Pharmaceuticals International Corp., Synlogic, T2 Biosystems, Inc., Taisho
356 Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., TenNor Therapeutics Ltd., Tetrphase Pharmaceuticals, The Medicines
357 Company, Theravance Biopharma, University of Colorado, University of Southern California-San Diego,

358 University of North Texas Health Science Center, VenatoRx Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Vyome Therapeutics
359 Inc., Wockhardt, Yukon Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Zai Lab, Zavante Therapeutics, Inc. There are no
360 speakers' bureaus or stock options to declare.
361

362 REFERENCES

- 363 1. **Bassetti M, Righi E, Montravers P, Cornely OA.** 2018. What has changed in the treatment of
364 invasive candidiasis? A look at the past 10 years and ahead. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **73**:i14-i25.
- 365 2. **Berkow EL, Lockhart SR.** 2017. Fluconazole resistance in *Candida* species: a current
366 perspective. *Infect Drug Resist* **10**:237-245.
- 367 3. **Lockhart SR, Berkow EL.** 2019. Antifungal agents, p 2319-2333. *In* Carroll KC, Pfaller MA,
368 Landry ML, McAdam AJ, Patel R, Richter SS, Warnock DW (ed), *Manual of Clinical Microbiology*
369 12th ed, vol 2. ASM Press, Washington, DC, USA.
- 370 4. **Pakyz AL, Gurgle HE, Oinonen MJ.** 2011. Antifungal use in hospitalized adults in U.S.
371 academic health centers. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* **68**:415-418.
- 372 5. **Pappas PG, Kauffman CA, Andes D, Clancy CJ, Marr KA, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Reboli AC,**
373 **Schuster MG, Vazquez JA, Walsh TJ, Zaoutis TE, Sobel JD.** 2016. Clinical practice guideline
374 for the management of candidiasis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America.
375 *Clin Infect Dis* **62**:409-417.
- 376 6. **Patterson TF, Thompson GR, 3rd, Denning DW, Fishman JA, Hadley S, Herbrecht R,**
377 **Kontoyiannis DP, Marr KA, Morrison VA, Nguyen MH, Segal BH, Steinbach WJ, Stevens**
378 **DA, Walsh TJ, Wingard JR, Young JA, Bennett JE.** 2016. Practice guidelines for the diagnosis
379 and management of aspergillosis: 2016 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America.
380 *Clin Infect Dis* **63**:e1-e60.
- 381 7. **Vallabhaneni S, Baggs J, Tsay S, Srinivasan AR, Jernigan JA, Jackson BR.** 2018. Trends in
382 antifungal use in US hospitals, 2006-12. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **73**:2867-2875.
- 383 8. **Fondevilla E, Grau S, Mojal S, Palomar M, Matas L, Gudiol F, Group VI.** 2016. Consumption
384 of systemic antifungal agents among acute care hospitals in Catalonia (Spain), 2008-2013.
385 *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther* **14**:137-144.
- 386 9. **Garey KW, Aitken SL, Dima-Ala A, Beyda ND, Kuper K, Xie Y, Koo HL.** 2015. Echinocandin
387 use in hospitalized patients: a multi-institutional study. *Am J Med Sci* **349**:316-320.

- 388 10. **Goemaere B, Lagrou K, Spriet I, Hendrickx M, Vandael E, Becker P, Catry B.** 2019. Systemic
389 antifungal drug use in Belgium-One of the biggest antifungal consumers in Europe. *Mycoses*
390 **62**:542-550.
- 391 11. **Stultz JS, Kohinke R, Pakyz AL.** 2018. Variability in antifungal utilization among neonatal,
392 pediatric, and adult inpatients in academic medical centers throughout the United States of
393 America. *BMC Infect Dis* **18**:501.
- 394 12. **Cornely OA, Bassetti M, Calandra T, Garbino J, Kullberg BJ, Lortholary O, Meersseman W,**
395 **Akova M, Arendrup MC, Arikan-Akdagli S, Bille J, Castagnola E, Cuenca-Estrella M,**
396 **Donnelly JP, Groll AH, Herbrecht R, Hope WW, Jensen HE, Lass-Flörl C, Petrikos G,**
397 **Richardson MD, Roilides E, Verweij PE, Viscoli C, Ullmann AJ, Group EFIS.** 2012. ESCMID*
398 guideline for the diagnosis and management of *Candida* diseases 2012: non-neutropenic adult
399 patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **18 Suppl 7**:19-37.
- 400 13. **Andes DR, Safdar N, Baddley JW, Playford G, Reboli AC, Rex JH, Sobel JD, Pappas PG,**
401 **Kullberg BJ, Mycoses Study G.** 2012. Impact of treatment strategy on outcomes in patients with
402 candidemia and other forms of invasive candidiasis: a patient-level quantitative review of
403 randomized trials. *Clin Infect Dis* **54**:1110-1122.
- 404 14. **Lamoth F, Lockhart SR, Berkow EL, Calandra T.** 2018. Changes in the epidemiological
405 landscape of invasive candidiasis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **73**:i4-i13.
- 406 15. **Sofjan AK, Mitchell A, Shah DN, Nguyen T, Sim M, Trojcek A, Beyda ND, Garey KW.** 2018.
407 Rezafungin (CD101), a next-generation echinocandin: A systematic literature review and
408 assessment of possible place in therapy. *J Glob Antimicrob Resist* **14**:58-64.
- 409 16. **Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ, Turnidge JD, Castanheira M, Jones RN.** 2019. Twenty years of the
410 SENTRY Antifungal Surveillance Program: Results for *Candida* species From 1997-2016. *Open*
411 *Forum Infect Dis* **6**:S79-S94.
- 412 17. **Beyda ND, Lewis RE, Garey KW.** 2012. Echinocandin resistance in *Candida* species:
413 mechanisms of reduced susceptibility and therapeutic approaches. *Ann Pharmacother* **46**:1086-
414 1096.

- 415 18. **Yamaguchi M, Kurokawa T, Ishiyama K, Aoki G, Ueda M, Matano S, Takami A, Yamazaki H,**
416 **Sawazaki A, Yamauchi H, Yoshida T, Nakao S.** 2011. Efficacy and safety of micafungin as an
417 empirical therapy for invasive fungal infections in patients with hematologic disorders: a
418 multicenter, prospective study. *Ann Hematol* **90**:1209-1217.
- 419 19. **Yamazaki S, Nakamura F, Yoshimi A, Ichikawa M, Nannya Y, Kurokawa M.** 2014. Safety of
420 high-dose micafungin for patients with hematological diseases. *Leuk Lymphoma* **55**:2572-2576.
- 421 20. **Bader JC, Lakota EA, Flanagan S, Ong V, Sandison T, Rubino CM, Bhavnani SM, Ambrose**
422 **PG.** 2018. Overcoming the Resistance hurdle: Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic target
423 attainment analyses for rezafungin (CD101) against *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata*.
424 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **62**:e02614.
- 425 21. **James KD, Laudeman CP, Malkar NB, Krishnan R, Polowy K.** 2017. Structure-activity
426 relationships of a series of echinocandins and the discovery of CD101, a highly stable and
427 soluble echinocandin with distinctive pharmacokinetic properties. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
428 **61**:e01541.
- 429 22. **Krishnan BR, James KD, Polowy K, Bryant BJ, Vaidya A, Smith S, Laudeman CP.** 2017.
430 CD101, a novel echinocandin with exceptional stability properties and enhanced aqueous
431 solubility. *J Antibiot (Tokyo)* **70**:130-135.
- 432 23. **Lepak AJ, Zhao M, VanScoy B, Ambrose PG, Andes DR.** 2018. Pharmacodynamics of a long-
433 acting echinocandin, CD101, in a neutropenic invasive-candidiasis murine model using an
434 extended-interval dosing design. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **62**:e02154.
- 435 24. **Locke JB, Almaguer AL, Zuill DE, Bartizal K.** 2016. Characterization of *in vitro* resistance
436 development to the novel echinocandin, CD101, in *Candida* species. *Antimicrob Agents*
437 *Chemother* **60**:6100-6107.
- 438 25. **Ong V, Hough G, Schlosser M, Bartizal K, Balkovec JM, James KD, Krishnan BR.** 2016.
439 Preclinical evaluation of the stability, safety and efficacy of CD101, a novel echinocandin.
440 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* doi:10.1128/AAC.00701-16:*in press*.
- 441 26. **Sandison T, Ong V, Lee J, Thye D.** 2017. Safety and pharmacokinetics of CD101 IV, a novel
442 Echinocandin, in healthy adults. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **61**:e01627.

- 443 27. **Zhao Y, Perez WB, Jimenez-Ortigosa C, Hough G, Locke JB, Ong V, Bartizal K, Perlin DS.**
444 2016. CD101: a novel long-acting echinocandin. *Cell Microbiol* **18**:1308-1316.
- 445 28. **Arendrup MC, Meletiadis J, Zaragoza O, Jorgensen KM, Marcos-Zambrano LJ, Kanioura L,**
446 **Cuenca-Estrella M, Mouton JW, Guinea J.** 2018. Multicentre determination of rezafungin
447 (CD101) susceptibility of *Candida* species by the EUCAST method. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **24**:1200-
448 1204.
- 449 29. **Chandra J, Ghannoum MA.** 2018. CD101, a novel echinocandin, possesses potent antibiofilm
450 activity against early and mature *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*
451 **62**:e01750.
- 452 30. **Hall D, Bonifas R, Stapert L, Thwaites M, Shinabarger DL, Pillar CM.** 2017. *In vitro* potency
453 and fungicidal activity of CD101, a novel echinocandin, against recent clinical isolates of *Candida*
454 spp. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* **89**:205-211.
- 455 31. **Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Jones RN, Castanheira M.** 2016. Activity of a long-
456 acting echinocandin, CD101, determined using CLSI and EUCAST reference methods, against
457 *Candida* and *Aspergillus* spp., including echinocandin- and azole-resistant isolates. *J Antimicrob*
458 *Chemother* **71**:2868-2873.
- 459 32. **Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Castanheira M.** 2017. Activity of a long-acting
460 Echinocandin (CD101) and seven comparator antifungal agents tested against a global collection
461 of contemporary invasive fungal isolates in the SENTRY (2014) Antifungal Surveillance Program.
462 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **61**:e02045.
- 463 33. **Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Castanheira M.** 2017. CD101, a long-acting
464 echinocandin, and comparator antifungal agents tested against a global collection of invasive
465 fungal isolates in the SENTRY 2015 Antifungal Surveillance Program. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*
466 **50**:352-358.
- 467 34. **Castanheira M, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Dietrich RR, Pfaller MA.** 2018. Activity of a long-
468 acting echinocandin rezafungin (CD101) and comparator antifungal agents tested against
469 contemporary invasive fungal isolates: SENTRY 2016, Poster #Friday-485. ASM Microbe, June
470 7-11, Atlanta, GA, USA.

- 471 35. **Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Schaefer BA, Castanheira M.** 2018. Activity of a long-
472 acting echinocandin rezafungin and comparator antifungal agents tested against contemporary
473 invasive fungal isolates: SENTRY 2018, Poster #2400. IDWeek, October 3-7, San Francisco, CA,
474 USA.
- 475 36. **Pfaller MA, Carvalhaes CG, Messer SA, Rhomberg PR, Castanheira M.** 2019. Activity of a
476 long-acting echinocandin, rezafungin, tested against invasive fungal isolates collected worldwide,
477 Poster #2115. IDWeek, October 2-6, Washington, DC, USA.
- 478 37. **CLSI.** 2017. M27Ed4. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of
479 yeasts. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 480 38. **CLSI.** 2018. M38Ed3. Reference method for broth dilution antifungal susceptibility testing of
481 filamentous fungi, third edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 482 39. **CLSI.** 2018. M60Ed1. Performance standards for antifungal susceptibility of testing yeasts.
483 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 484 40. **CLSI.** 2018. M59Ed2. Epidemiological cutoff values for antifungal susceptibility testing, second
485 edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA.
- 486 41. **CLSI.** 2016. M57. Principles and procedures for the development of epidemiological cutoff values
487 for antifungal susceptibility testing, 1st edition. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute,
488 Wayne, PA.
- 489 42. **Pfaller MA, Diekema DJ.** 2012. Progress in antifungal susceptibility testing of *Candida* spp. by
490 use of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute broth microdilution methods, 2010 to 2012. J
491 Clin Microbiol **50**:2846-2856.
- 492 43. **Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Woosley LN, Jones RN, Castanheira M.** 2013. Echinocandin and
493 triazole antifungal susceptibility profiles of opportunistic yeast and mould clinical isolates (2010-
494 2011); Application of new CLSI clinical breakpoints and epidemiological cutoff values to
495 characterize geographic and temporal trends of antifungal resistance. J Clin Microbiol **51**:2571-
496 2581.

- 497 44. **Arendrup MC, Jorgensen KM, Hare RK, Cuenca-Estrella M, Zaragoza O.** 2019. EUCAST
498 reference testing of rezafungin susceptibility and impact of choice of plastic plates. *Antimicrob*
499 *Agents Chemother* **63**:e00659.
- 500 45. **Oxman DA, Chow JK, Frendl G, Hadley S, Hershkovitz S, Ireland P, McDermott LA, Tsai K,**
501 **Marty FM, Kontoyiannis DP, Golan Y.** 2010. Candidaemia associated with decreased *in vitro*
502 fluconazole susceptibility: Is *Candida* speciation predictive of the susceptibility pattern? *J*
503 *Antimicrob Chemother* **65**:1460-1465.
- 504 46. **Castanheira M, Woosley LN, Diekema DJ, Jones RN, Pfaller MA.** 2013. *Candida guilliermondii*
505 and other species of *Candida* misidentified as *Candida famata*: Assessment by Vitek2, ITS
506 sequence analysis and Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization–Time of Flight Mass
507 Spectrometry in two global surveillance programs. *J Clin Microbiol* **51**:117-124.
- 508 47. **Pfaller MA, Woosley LN, Messer SA, Jones RN, Castanheira M.** 2012. Significance of
509 molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility of clinically significant yeasts and moulds in a
510 global antifungal surveillance program. *Mycopathologia* **174**:259-271.
- 511 48. **Castanheira M, Messer SA, Jones RN, Farrell DJ, Pfaller MA.** 2014. Activity of echinocandins
512 and triazoles against a contemporary (2012) worldwide collection of yeast and moulds collected
513 from invasive infections. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* **44**:320-326.
- 514 49. **Pfaller MA.** 2015. Invasive fungal infections and approaches to their diagnosis, p 219-287. *In*
515 *Sails A, Tang Y (ed), Methods in Microbiology*, vol 72. Oxford: Academic Press.
- 516 50. **Pfaller MA, Rhomberg PR, Wiederhold NP, Gibas C, Sanders C, Fan H, Mele J, Kovanda LL,**
517 **Castanheira M.** 2018. *In vitro* activity of isavuconazole versus opportunistic fungal pathogens
518 from two mycology reference laboratories. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* **62**:e01230.
519

520 Table 1. Species distribution of *Candida* isolates by geographic region: SENTRY Program, 2016-2018

Region	No. tested	% by species					
		CA	CG	CP	CT	CD	CK
APAC	237	49.8	15.2	12.2	16.9	2.1	3.8
EUR	823	49.6	18.2	17.6	7.5	3.6	3.4
LATAM	242	43.0	8.7	20.2	20.2	1.7	6.2
NA	602	34.1	27.7	17.6	7.5	9.0	4.2
Total	1,904	43.9	19.6	17.3	10.3	4.9	4.0

521 Abbreviations: CA, *C. albicans*; CG, *C. glabrata*; CP, *C. parapsilosis*; CT, *C. tropicalis*; CD, *C. dubliniensis*; CK, *C. krusei*; APAC,
522 Asia-Pacific; EUR, Europe; LATAM, Latin America; NA, North America.

523

524 Table 2. Antimicrobial activity of rezafungin tested against the main organisms and organism groups
525 using the CLSI method from all years

Organism/organism group (no. of isolates)	No. and cumulative % of isolates inhibited at MIC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) of:													MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀		
	$\leq 0.002^a$	0.004 ^a	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	> ^b				
<i>Candida albicans</i> (835)	11 3.8	6 5.8	87 10.4	270 42.8	309 79.8	139 96.4	28 99.8	2 100.0								0.03	0.06
2016 (276)			13 4.7	100 40.9	113 81.9	38 95.7	11 99.6	1 100.0								0.03	0.06
2017 (267)			12 4.5	83 35.6	96 71.5	66 96.3	10 100.0									0.03	0.06
2018 (292)	11 3.8	6 5.8	45 21.2	87 51.0	100 85.3	35 97.3	7 99.7	1 100.0								0.015	0.06
<i>Candida glabrata</i> (374)	1 0.3	0 0.3	0 0.3	5 1.6	136 38.0	162 81.3	54 95.7	6 97.3	3 98.1	3 98.9	4 100.0					0.06	0.12
2016 (135)			0 0.0	1 0.7	38 28.9	65 77.0	27 97.0	3 99.3	0 99.3	0 99.3	1 100.0					0.06	0.12
2017 (121)			0 0.0	33 27.3	60 76.9	20 93.4	2 95.0	3 97.5	2 99.2	1 100.0						0.06	0.12
2018 (118)	1 0.8	0 0.8	0 0.8	4 4.2	65 59.3	37 90.7	7 96.6	1 97.5	0 97.5	1 98.3	2 100.0					0.03	0.06
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i> (329)			0 0.0	1 0.3	0 0.3	0 0.3	1 0.6	5 2.1	62 21.0	134 61.7	124 99.4	2 100.0				1	2
2016 (94)							0 0.0	2 2.1	13 16.0	37 55.3	42 100.0					1	2
2017 (118)			0 0.0	1 0.8	0 0.8	0 0.8	0 0.8	2 2.5	14 14.4	48 55.1	51 98.3	2 100.0				1	2
2018 (117)							0 0.0	1 0.9	1 1.7	35 31.6	49 73.5	31 100.0				1	2
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> (196)			12 6.1	52 32.7	75 70.9	41 91.8	11 97.4	3 99.0	0 99.0	1 99.5	1 100.0					0.03	0.06
2016 (64)			3 4.7	20 35.9	24 73.4	12 92.2	3 96.9	0 96.9	0 96.9	1 98.4	1 100.0					0.03	0.06
2017 (54)			0 0.0	11 20.4	19 55.6	17 87.0	5 96.3	2 100.0								0.03	0.12
2018 (78)		0 0.0	9 11.5	21 38.5	32 79.5	12 94.9	3 98.7	1 100.0								0.03	0.06
<i>Candida krusei</i> (77)			0 0.0	22 28.6	31 68.8	17 90.9	7 100.0									0.03	0.06
2016 (33)			0 0.0	8 24.2	17 75.8	7 97.0	1 100.0									0.03	0.06
2017 (28)			0 0.0	3 10.7	12 53.6	10 89.3	3 100.0									0.03	0.12
2018 (16)			0 0.0	11 68.8	2 81.2	0 81.2	3 100.0									0.015	0.12
<i>Candida dubliniensis</i> (93)	1 1.1	0 1.1	1 2.2	4 6.5	30 38.7	39 80.6	18 100.0									0.06	0.12
2016 (30)			0 0.0	8 26.7	15 76.7	7 100.0										0.06	0.12
2017 (28)			0 0.0	1 3.6	9 35.7	11 75.0	7 100.0									0.06	0.12

Organism/organism group (no. of isolates)	No. and cumulative % of isolates inhibited at MIC ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) of:											MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀		
	$\leq 0.002^a$	0.004 ^a	0.008	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2			4	$>^b$
2018 (35)	1 2.9	0 2.9	1 5.7	3 14.3	13 51.4	13 88.6	4 100.0							0.03	0.12
<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i> var. <i>grubii</i> (73)										0 0.0	9 12.3	64 100.0		>4	>4
2016 (27)										0 0.0	7 28.0	18 100.0		>4	>4
2017 (25)										0 0.0	7 28.0	18 100.0		>4	>4
2018 (21)										0 0.0	2 9.5	19 100.0		>4	>4
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> (183)		3 4.0	64 36.6	88 84.7	28 100.0									0.015	0.03
2016 (48)			26 54.2	20 95.8	2 100.0									≤ 0.008	0.015
2017 (60)			25 41.7	29 90.0	6 100.0									0.015	0.015
2018 (75)	0 0.0	3 4.0	13 21.3	39 73.3	20 100.0									0.015	0.03
<i>Aspergillus</i> section <i>Flavi</i> (45)		5 33.3	20 55.6	18 95.6	2 100.0									≤ 0.008	0.015
2016 (12)			3 25.0	7 83.3	2 100.0									0.015	0.03
2017 (18)			8 44.4	10 100.0										0.015	0.015
2018 (15)	0 0.0	5 33.3	9 93.3	1 100.0										0.008	0.008

526 ^a. During 2016 and 2017 study years, the lowest echinocandins concentration tested was 0.008 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. The range was
527 expanded to 0.002 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ in 2018.

528 ^b. Greater than the last concentration tested.

529

530

531

532 Table 3. Antimicrobial activity of rezafungin and comparators agents tested against fungal isolates from
533 the worldwide 2016-2018 Rezafungin Surveillance Program

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	CLSI ^a		ECV ^a	
			%S	%R	%WT	%NWT
<i>Candida albicans</i> (n = 835)						
Rezafungin	0.03	0.06			99.8	0.2
Anidulafungin	0.015	0.03	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Caspofungin	0.015	0.03	99.9	0.1		
Micafungin	0.015	0.03	99.9	0.1	99.6	0.4
Fluconazole	≤0.12	0.25	99.5	0.4	98.1	1.9
Itraconazole	≤0.06	0.12				
Posaconazole	0.03	0.06			96.5	3.5
Voriconazole	≤0.008	0.015	99.9	0.0	99.0	1.0
Amphotericin B	0.5	1			100.0	0.0
<i>Candida glabrata</i> (n = 374)						
Rezafungin	0.06	0.12			95.7	4.3
Anidulafungin	0.06	0.12	94.4	3.2	96.8	3.2
Caspofungin	0.03	0.06	97.1	2.1		
Micafungin	0.015	0.03	96.0	2.4	93.3	6.7
Fluconazole	2	32	91.4 ^b	8.6	85.6	14.4
Itraconazole	0.5	2			98.7	1.3
Posaconazole	0.25	1			93.0	7.0
Voriconazole	0.06	1			87.2	12.8
Amphotericin B	1	1			100.0	0.0
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i> (n = 329)						
Rezafungin	1	2			100.0	0.0
Anidulafungin	2	2	93.9	0.0	100.0	0.0
Caspofungin	0.25	0.5	100.0	0.0		
Micafungin	1	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Fluconazole	0.5	32	86.0	12.5	83.6	16.4
Itraconazole	0.12	0.25				
Posaconazole	0.06	0.12			100.0	0.0
Voriconazole	≤0.008	0.25	88.4	0.9	84.5	15.5
Amphotericin B	0.5	1			100.0	0.0
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> (n = 196)						
Rezafungin	0.03	0.06			100.0	0.0
Anidulafungin	0.03	0.06	99.0	1.0	98.0	2.0
Caspofungin	0.015	0.06	99.0	1.0		
Micafungin	0.03	0.06	99.0	1.0	96.4	3.6
Fluconazole	0.25	1	96.9	2.6	94.9	5.1
Itraconazole	0.12	0.5			100.0	0.0
Posaconazole	0.06	0.12			92.9	7.1
Voriconazole	0.015	0.06	96.9	0.0	96.9	3.1

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	CLSI ^a		ECV ^a	
			%S	%R	%WT	%NWT
Amphotericin B	0.5	1			100.0	0.0
<i>Candida krusei</i> (n = 77)						
Rezafungin	0.03	0.06			100.0	0.0
Anidulafungin	0.06	0.12	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Caspofungin	0.12	0.25	98.7	0.0		
Micafungin	0.06	0.12	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
Fluconazole	32	64				
Itraconazole	0.5	1			100.0	0.0
Posaconazole	0.5	0.5			100.0	0.0
Voriconazole	0.25	0.5	96.1	1.3	96.1	3.9
Amphotericin B	1	2			100.0	0.0
<i>Candida dubliniensis</i> (n = 93)						
Rezafungin	0.06	0.12			100.0	0.0
Anidulafungin	0.03	0.12			100.0	0.0
Caspofungin	0.03	0.03				
Micafungin	0.03	0.03			100.0	0.0
Fluconazole	≤0.12	0.25			96.8	3.2
Itraconazole	≤0.06	0.25				
Posaconazole	0.03	0.06				
Voriconazole	≤0.008	0.015				
Amphotericin B	0.5	0.5				
<i>Cryptococcus neoformans</i> var. <i>grubii</i> (n = 73)						
Rezafungin	>4	>4				
Anidulafungin	>4	>4				
Caspofungin	>4	>4				
Micafungin	>4	>4				
Fluconazole	2	4			100.0	0.0
Itraconazole	0.25	0.25			93.5	6.5
Posaconazole	0.12	0.25			97.3	2.7
Voriconazole	0.03	0.12			100.0	0.0
Amphotericin B	0.5	1			52.1	47.9
<i>Aspergillus fumigatus</i> (n = 183)						
Rezafungin	0.015	0.03			100.0	0.0
Anidulafungin	0.015	0.03				
Caspofungin	0.015	0.03			100.0	0.0
Micafungin	≤0.008	0.015				
Itraconazole	0.5	1			98.4	1.6
Posaconazole	0.25	0.5				
Voriconazole	0.25	0.5			98.9	1.1
Amphotericin B	1	2			100.0	0.0
<i>Aspergillus</i> section <i>Flavi</i> (n = 45)						
Rezafungin	≤0.008	0.015				

Antimicrobial agent	MIC ₅₀	MIC ₉₀	CLSI ^a		ECV ^a	
			%S	%R	%WT	%NWT
Anidulafungin	≤0.008	0.015				
Caspofungin	0.015	0.03			100.0	0.0
Micafungin	0.015	0.03				
Itraconazole	0.5	1			100.0	0.0
Posaconazole	0.25	0.5			100.0	0.0
Voriconazole	0.5	1			100.0	0.0
Amphotericin B	2	2			100.0	0.0

534 ^a Criteria published by CLSI M60 (39). Epidemiological cutoff value (ECV) criteria published in CLSI M59 (40). ECV for
 535 rezafungin and each species determined from data in the present study.

536 ^b Non-resistant interpreted as susceptible-dose dependent.

537

538

539

540

541 Table 4. Summary of *fks* alterations detected in *Candida spp.* strains as part of the 2016-2018
542 Rezafungin surveillance program

Isolate	Country	Year	Organism	MIC according to CLSI method ($\mu\text{g/mL}$):				1,3- β -D-glucan synthase mutations ^a :			
				RFG	AFG	CAS	MFG	<i>fks1</i> HS1	<i>fks1</i> HS2	<i>fks2</i> HS1	<i>fks2</i> HS2
1051621	Hungary	2018	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	0.25	0.25	0.12	0.12	WT	WT	NT	NT
1051641	Hungary	2018	<i>C. glabrata</i>	1	1	1	0.5	WT	WT	F659_del	WT
1053234	Canada	2018	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	WT	WT
1075570	Belgium	2018	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	WT	WT
1078854	USA	2018	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	F659_del	WT
1078861	USA	2018	<i>C. glabrata</i>	2	2	1	1	WT	WT	S663P	WT
1085740	Spain	2018	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.12	WT	WT	NT	NT
1087598	USA	2018	<i>C. glabrata</i>	2	4	4	4	WT ^b	WT ^b	S663P	WT
997524	Mexico	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.06	F625S	WT	WT	WT
999721	Italy	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	WT	WT
1015009	Spain	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.5	1	0.5	0.25	WT	WT	Y657 deletion, F658Y	WT
1020535	USA	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.25	0.25	0.12	0.06	WT	WT	WT	WT
1021070	France	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	1	2	0.5	0.5	WT	WT	S663P	WT
1025460	USA	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.5	1	0.5	1	S629P	WT	R665G	WT
1026179	Spain	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	1	1	0.25	0.25	WT	WT	Y657 deletion, F658Y	WT
1034513	Ireland	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	2	4	2	0.5	WT	WT	S663P	WT
1034514	Ireland	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.25	0.5	0.12	0.12	WT	WT	S663P	WT
1034803	USA	2017	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.12	0.12	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	WT	WT
1034763	Turkey	2017	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	0.06	0.06	0.25	0.12	WT	WT	NT	NT
1034766	Turkey	2017	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	0.12	0.25	0.03	0.06	WT	WT	NT	NT
1041544	Greece	2017	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	0.06	0.06	0.03	0.12	WT	WT	NT	NT
984357	Ireland	2016	<i>C. albicans</i>	0.25	0.12	1	1	S645P	WT	NT	NT
978825	Turkey	2016	<i>C. albicans</i>	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.06	WT	WT	NT	NT
948247	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.06	0.12	0.03	0.03	WT	WT	WT	WT
949151	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.03	0.06	0.06	0.12	WT	WT	WT	WT
970382	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.25	0.25	0.12	0.12	S629P	WT	WT	WT
970397	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.12	0.25	0.25	0.12	WT	WT	P667H	WT
974239	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.25	0.25	0.06	0.12	S629P	WT	WT	WT
974249	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	2	2	1	1	WT	WT	S663P	WT
978819	Turkey	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.25	0.25	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	WT	WT
983007	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.12	0.5	0.06	0.12	WT	WT	F658_del	WT
985673	USA	2016	<i>C. glabrata</i>	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	S663P	WT
936285	Germany	2016	<i>C. krusei</i>	0.12	0.12	0.25	0.12	WT	WT	NT	NT
954660	Italy	2016	<i>C. krusei</i>	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	NT	NT
975699	USA	2016	<i>C. krusei</i>	0.015	0.06	0.12	0.06	WT	WT	NT	NT
977046	Brazil	2016	<i>C. krusei</i>	0.015	0.015	0.06	0.06	WT	WT	NT	NT

Isolate	Country	Year	Organism	MIC according to CLSI method ($\mu\text{g/mL}$):				1,3- β -D-glucan synthase mutations ^a :			
				RFG	AFG	CAS	MFG	<i>fks1</i> HS1	<i>fks1</i> HS2	<i>fks2</i> HS1	<i>fks2</i> HS2
970388	USA	2016	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	2	1	>8	2	S654P	WT	NT	NT
977041	Brazil	2016	<i>C. tropicalis</i>	1	1	2	1	F650S	WT	NT	NT

543 ^a RFG, rezafungin; AFG, anidulafungin; CAS, caspofungin; MFG, micafungin; WT, wild-type; NT, not tested.

544

545 Table 5. Fluconazole resistance by geographic region for *Candida species*: SENTRY, 2016-2018

Species	Region	No. tested	% resistant (n)
<i>C. albicans</i>	APAC	118	0.0 (0)
	EUR	408	0.0 (0)
	LATAM	104	1.0 (1)
	NA	205	1.0 (2)
	Total	835	0.4 (3)
<i>C. glabrata</i>	APAC	36	2.8 (1)
	EUR	150	6.0 (9)
	LATAM	21	0.0 (0)
	NA	167	13.2 (22)
	Total	374	8.6 (32)
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	APAC	29	3.4 (1)
	EUR	145	24.8 (36)
	LATAM	49	0.0 (0)
	NA	106	3.8 (4)
	Total	329	12.5 (41)
<i>C. tropicalis</i>	APAC	40	5.0 (2)
	EUR	62	1.6 (1)
	LATAM	49	4.1 (2)
	NA	45	0.0 (0)
	Total	196	2.6 (5)
<i>C. dubliniensis</i> ^a	APAC	5	0.0 (0)
	EUR	30	0.0 (0)
	LATAM	4	0.0 (0)
	NA	54	5.6 (3)
	Total	93	3.2 (3)

546 APAC, Asia-Pacific region; EUR, Europe; LATAM, Latin America; NA, North America

547 ^a % of wide-type isolates based on Epidemiological cutoff value (ECV) criteria published in CLSI M59 (40).

548

549